CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS



Tokharika Author(s): H. W. Bailey Reviewed work(s): Source: Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, No. 2 (1970), pp. 121-122 Published by: Cambridge University Press Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/25203201 Accessed: 04/08/2012 06:15

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Cambridge University Press and Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland.

TOKHARIKA

By H. W. BAILEY

IN THE Učenye Zapiski, XVI (1958), 280–308, the late V. S. Vorobiev-Desiatovsky published facsimiles of some Central Asian fragments preserved in Leningrad. One fragment contained a bilingual text, a traveller's guide, a type familiar elsewhere in Saka of Khotan,¹ in Buddhist Sanskrit and Saka; and also in Chinese and Saka.² The two languages in the new fragment were Buddhist Sanskrit and Kuchean, the language of ancient Kuci, modern Kucha, the so-called Tokharian B.

One phrase was apt at once to attract attention. The bilingual, plate 12, 1 b, 2, has the text tokharika, kucaññe iscake. In this Buddhist Sanskrit tokharika can be seen the equivalent of Hindu Sanskrit taukhdrika, that is, a feminine adjective from the name tukhdra, the celebrated name of the rulers of Tokharastan.³

The facsimile plate was insufficiently clear in two of its syllables. Through the kindness of Professor Giorgi Tsereteli I have received three excellent copies of a photograph of line 2. It shows that the editor has rightly read the text. I had earlier thought that one should read *iñcake*⁴ instead of *iscake*, and Professor Werner Winter informed me by letter that he wished to read *kuţhaññe* instead of *kucaññe*.

Here for the first time a local text offered a rendering "woman of Kuci" for Buddhist Sanskrit tokharika. It had long been known that Kumārajīva (born in Kuci) had equated Sanskrit tukhāra with the Chinese $\exists triangle ite-tsi$. This Chinese name was widely documented from Kan-ţşou to Bactria, and throughout the same region the name which in its earliest Greek form was T_{0} xapot was equally widely spread.

The problem of what people was meant by the name Greek Tóyapo, and Sanskrit Tukhāra has occupied me earlier on several occasions. General agreement has still to be reached. My own view at present is that the name is compounded from an ethnic name Gara- (that is, *yara-) and a first component to- (written to-, tho-, and tu-). The name $\Theta_{0ya\rho a}$ in Ptolemaios, Geography, was that of the city of Kan-tsou. In this region the Chinese knew the Ue-tsi. They reported that a group of these people went westwards to Bactria, but that a smaller group whom they called the Little Ue-tsi withdrew into the Köke-nagur area. In this second area we have in Tibetan documents from the 8th century a people called *Gar (written in three ways mgar, hgar, sgar). These were important enough to aim at royalty. Their name has been carried down to recent times in Tibetan legends. In the Nan-san region the 8th-10th century Saka texts in the language of Khotan mention three peoples, Gara, Cimuda, and Dunnva. These Gara can hardly be any other than the Tibetan Mgar in the same region and the -gara of the name Goyápa, the city of Kan-tsou. There can also be little doubt that the Chinese name Ue-tsi also in the same region represents the foreign name Gara, that is, with initial fricative yara.⁵ The earliest attested pronunciation of üe is nüa8. later written hgvar in Tibetan.

- * Sal: a Documents, text volume, 17-9.
- V. V. Ivanov, in *Problemy vostokovedenia*, V, 1959, 188 ff., discussed this text from the point of view of Indo-European.
- Adyar Library Bulletin, XXV, 4, note 1.

¹ P 5538 b, edited in BSOS, IX, 521-43, with translation, and again in my Khotanese Texts, III, 121-4.

^{*} The view of E. Pulleyblank, in JRAS, 1966, 16, is in need of modification.

TOKHARIKA

For the first component, where the Chinese added their word ta "great", to which siau "little" was the contrast for those in the Nan-şan region, there is a useful control in the name of Tibet. The Tibetans themselves use Bod, earlier also Bon. This is found in Kuci Sanskrit bhuta, Khotan Sanskrit bauta, Hindu Sanskrit bhota, bhautta. But a separate name *to-bot- was adopted in Chinese t'u-fan, t'u-pa, t'o-pa, Jap. tobatsu, Sogdian twp'wt-,Uigur Turk twypwt, Mongol töbed, Zoroastrian Pahlavi twpyt, Arab-Persian tubut, tubbat, tubbut, tabbut, Georgian t'obit'-, t'umbut'-, Marco Polo tebet. The Saka has ttāgutta- through *tovut.⁶ Here one may see "great Tibet" or "greater Tibet". The Tibetans were expanding eastwards and to the north in the 7th century. In Tibetan books eastern Tibet is Bod čhen-po "great Tibet".⁷ This use of "great" is frequent. One can refer to Sanskrit Mahācīna-, Arab. Māşīn, or the later Great Mughal or Great Britain.

Fuller discussion on this topic will be published elsewhere. Here it is intended only to settle the reading of the bilingual text from Kuci.

The second word *işcake* which corresponds to the Sanskrit ending *-ikā*- "female" looks like a loan-word from either Central Asian Iranian or from Kroraina Prakrit meaning "woman" with the prestige of a foreign word, like English *Dame*. Both these sources possess *strī*- "woman". In Kroraina occur *stri*, *istri*, *striya*, *istriya*. In Saka the word is *strīyā*-. In modern Iranian Parāčī *šičak* "female", Zēbakī *šeč* "female", Pašto *šədza* from **strīčā*are used. From a neuter **istrīčaka*- could derive Kuchean *işcake*. The suffix *-āke* and *-ake* is used in names of animates. Hence we find Tokharian B *rşāke*, A *rişak* from Sanskrit *rşi*-"sage", and the proper name B *prasamnake*, beside A *prasenaji*, and B *prasenacī* from Sanskrit *prasenajit*-.

For the trajection of ethnic names to later comers to a territory there are many parallels. In Central Asia one may note Tibetan *sog-dag* "Sogdian" replaced by *sog-po* and used of Mongols; and Tibetan *hor* "Uigur" used of the Mongols of Chingiz. The Byzantines used $To \hat{v} \rho \kappa o\iota$ of the Magyars. The Armenians used *Mazk* ut -k "Massagetai" of the Eastern Turks.

(1969.)

⁶ See details in W. B. Henning and E. Yarshater (eds.), A locust's leg: studies in honour of S. H. Taqizadeh, London, 1962, 37, and BSOAS, X, 599-605.

^{&#}x27;R. A. Stein, Recherches sur l'épopée et le barde au Tibet, 245, 300.



Sanskrit-Kuchean bilingual (p. 121).